

Discrete Mathematics for Computer Science, October 2, 2017

Solution/Correction standard

1. (a)  $\forall_i \forall_j \forall k [a_{ij} = a_{ik}]$  or  $\forall_i \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} [a_{ij} = a_{i,j+1}]$ . [2 pt]

(b)  $\forall j [\exists_i (a_{ij} = 0) \wedge \exists k (a_{kj} = 1) \wedge \forall \ell (0 \leq a_{\ell j} \leq 1)]$ . [4 pt]

For each expression that is not logically equivalent to the ones above: 0 pt.

- 2.
- |      |  |               |
|------|--|---------------|
| (1)  | $q$                                      | Extra Premise |
| (2)  | $p \vee r$                               | Premise       |
| (3)  | $\neg\neg p \vee r$                      | (2), L1       |
| (4)  | $\neg p \rightarrow r$                   | (3), L12      |
| (5)  | $p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r)$          | Premise       |
| (6)  | $\neg(\neg q \vee r) \rightarrow \neg p$ | (5), L13      |
| (7)  | $\neg(\neg q \vee r) \rightarrow r$      | (6),(4), R2   |
| (8)  | $\neg\neg(\neg q \vee r) \vee r$         | (7), L12      |
| (9)  | $\neg q \vee (r \vee r)$                 | (8), L1,L4    |
| (10) | $\neg q \vee r$                          | (9), L8       |
| (11) | $\neg\neg q$                             | (1), L1       |
| (12) | $r$                                      | (11), R5      |

[6 pt]

For each forgotten Law or Rule: -1 pt.

If deduction contains a step that is not logically correct: at most 1 pt for the entire exercise.

Remark: Also R11 can be used, e.g, by first creating a  $T_0$ :

(1)  $p \vee r$  (Prem); (2)  $(p \vee r) \wedge T_0$  ((1),L7); (3)  $T_0$  ((2),L3,R7); (4)  $r \vee \neg r$  ((3),L8);

(5)  $r \rightarrow r$  ((4),L3,L12); (6)  $p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r)$  (Prem).

Now (6),(5),(1) and R11 imply  $(\neg q \vee r) \vee r$ . Then applying L4, L6 and L12 respectively leads to the conclusion  $q \rightarrow r$ .

3. Suppose  $A - C = B - C$  and  $C - A = C - B$ . We must show that  $A = B$ . [1 pt]

We show that  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ . [1 pt]

(i) Proof of  $A \subseteq B$ .

Let  $x \in A$ . We distinguish the cases  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin C$ . [1 pt]

Case 1: Suppose  $x \in C$ . Then  $x \notin C - A$ . So  $x \notin C - B$ . Then necessarily  $x \in B$  (because  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin B$  would imply  $x \in C - B$ ). [1 pt]

Case 2: Suppose  $x \notin C$ . Then  $x \in A - C$ . So  $x \in B - C$ . So again  $x \in B$ . [1 pt]

From Case 1 and Case 2 we conclude  $A \subseteq B$ .

(ii) Proof of  $B \subseteq A$ .

This proof is analogous to part (i), by interchanging the roles of  $A$  and  $B$ . [1 pt]