## Statistical Techniques for TCS/BIT <br> Exam

## Instructions

This examination comprises 11 exercises and is divided into two sections: Final answer questions and Open questions. For the Final answer questions, record only your answers. For the Open questions, provide explanations or motivation for all your answers and present all relevant solutions. Provide your responses rounded to three decimal places, where applicable. Submit all your responses on the designated answer sheet.
Supplementary scratch paper is accessible for your convenience (this will not be assessed).
Ensure to hand in your answer sheet and to return the formula sheet and probability tables, which are provided separately.

## Final answer questions

1. The developers of a smart home voice command app carried out a study to examine the app usage frequency in 20 smart houses during 30-minute time intervals at different times throughout the day. For example, the frequency recorded at 6 a.m. was assessed from 5:45 a.m. to 6:15 a.m. Below, you'll find the corresponding comparative box-plot depicting the frequency counts for five distinct times of the day. Answer the following statements (A) to (F) about the above comparative box-plot depicting the frequency counts with either true ( $T$ ) or false (F).

(A) There are both extreme and mild outliers at 6 a.m. TRUE
(B) The mean app usage frequency at 12 noon is 10. FALSE
(C) Variability increases until 2 p.m. TRUE
(D) At 8 a.m., the app usage frequency is the most consistent. TRUE
(E) Distributions at 8 a.m. and 2 p.m. are not symmetric. FALSE
(F) The largest app usage frequency at 2 p.m. is greater than the largest app usage frequency at 10 p.m. TRUE
2. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$, and $X_{4}$ be be a random sample of observations from a population with mean $\mu$ [2 pt] and variance $\sigma^{2}$.
Consider the following two point estimators of $\mu$ : (C)
$T_{1}=0.10 X_{1}+0.40 X_{2}+0.40 X_{3}+0.10 X_{4}$,
$T_{2}=0.20 X_{1}+0.30 X_{2}+0.30 X_{3}+0.20 X_{4}$.
I. Which of the following is true?
(A) $T_{1}$ is a biased and $T_{2}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\mu$.
(B) $T_{1}$ is an unbiased and $T_{2}$ is a biased estimator of $\mu$.
(C) Both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are unbiased estimators of $\mu$.
(D) Both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are biased estimators of $\mu$.
(E) We cannot tell about the bias of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ with the given information.
II. Which of the following is true? (B)
(A) $\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{2}\right)$
(B) $\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{1}\right)>\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{2}\right)$
(C) $\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{2}\right)$
(D) We cannot tell the relationship between $\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{2}\right)$ with the given information.
III. Which of the following is true regarding the Mean Squared Error (MSE)?(A)
(A) $T_{2}$ is a better estimator of $\mu$ than $T_{1}$.
(B) $T_{1}$ is a better estimator of $\mu$ than $T_{2}$.
(C) We cannot tell whether $T_{1}$ or $T_{2}$ is a better estimator of $\mu$ with the given information.
3. We are testing if the mean amount of liquid dispensed by a machine is less than 1000 units with $\alpha=0.05$, the standard deviation is given $\sigma=24$ units. We're assessing the risk of not detecting under-dispensing by 10 units using a sample of $n=40$ bottles. The hypotheses of this research are: $H_{0}: \mu=1000, H_{a}: \mu<1000$. We have the following Rejection Rule: "Reject $H_{0}$ if $Z<-1.645^{\prime \prime}$ And $z=\underline{\underline{\bar{x}-1000}}=-1.645$ gives $\bar{x}=993.76$ 24
$\mathrm{Vf}^{40}$ this test, with a true mean of 990 units, which of the following is the correct computation of Type II error?(C)
(A) $P(\bar{x}>993.76 \mid \mu=1000)$
(E) $P(\bar{x}>990 \mid \mu=993.76)$
(B) $P(\bar{x}<993.76 \mid \mu=1000)$
(F) $P(\bar{x}<990 \mid \mu=993.76)$
(C) $P(\bar{x}>993.76 \mid \mu=990)$
(G) $P(\bar{x}>990 \mid \mu=1000)$
(D) $P(\bar{x}<993.76 \mid \mu=990)$
(H) $P(\bar{x}<990 \mid \mu=1000)$
4. The lead researcher in a laboratory believes that the average time for participants to complete a cognitive task on the smart devices is 14 minutes. Assume that task completion time follows a normal distribution with a standard deviation of 3.4 minutes. The researcher monitors the completion times of 25 participants and finds that the average time for task completion was 11.6 minutes.
I. What are the appropriate null and alternative hypotheses? (C)
(A) $H_{0}: \mu \geq 14$ and $H_{1}: \mu<14$.
(C) $H_{0}: \mu=14$ and $H_{1}: \mu \neq 14$.
(B) $H_{0}: \mu \leq 14$ and $H_{1}: \mu>14$.
(D) $H_{0}: \mu \geq 14$ and $H_{1}: \mu=14$.
II. Which statistical test should the researcher consider to test their statistical hypothesis for the above mentioned claim? (A)
(A) One sample t-test for the $\mu$ when $\sigma^{2}$ is unknown
(B) One sample test for the $\mu$ when $\sigma^{2}$ is known
(C) Paired samples t-test for the $\mu$
(D) Chi-square test for the $\sigma^{2}$
III. Which decision below should the researcher make for testing the above claim with a significance level of $\alpha=5 \%$ ? (G)
(A) $z_{\text {critical }}<z_{\text {observed }}$ therefore Fail to reject $H_{0}$
(B) $z_{\text {critical }}>z_{\text {observed }}$ therefore Fail to reject $H_{0}$
(C) $t_{\text {critical }}>t_{\text {observed }}$ therefore Fail to reject $H_{0}$
(D) $t_{\text {critical }}<t_{\text {observed }}$ therefore Fail to reject $H_{0}$
(E) $z_{\text {critical }}>z_{\text {observed }}$ therefore Reject $H_{0}$
(F) $z_{\text {critical }}<z_{\text {observed }}$ therefore Reject $H_{0}$
(G) $t_{\text {critical }}>t_{\text {observed }}$ therefore Reject $H_{0}$
(H) $t_{\text {critical }}<t_{\text {observed }}$ therefore Reject $H_{0}$
5. A study on 22 engineering students categorized them into two groups: Bike Commuters (12 students, mean distance 28.5 km , variance $18.1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) and Public Transit Commuters ( 10 students, mean distance 32.2 km , variance $20.4 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ).
I. Researchers plan an eight-step hypothesis test to assess if there's a significant difference in mean distances between the two groups. (C)
(A) $\frac{\bar{X}_{\text {Bike }}-\bar{Y}_{\text {Public }}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{10}\right)}}$ with $S^{2}=\frac{12}{22} S_{X}^{2}+\frac{10}{22} S_{Y}^{2}$
(D) $\frac{\bar{X}}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{20}}}$
(E) $\frac{Z}{\frac{S_{Z}}{\sqrt{22}}}$
(B) $\frac{\bar{X}_{\text {Bike }}-\bar{Y}_{\text {Public }}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{10}\right)}}$ with $S^{2}=\frac{11}{21} S_{X}^{2}+\frac{9}{21} S_{Y}^{2}$
(F) $\frac{Z}{\frac{s_{Z}}{\sqrt{21}}}$
(C) $\frac{\bar{X}_{\text {Bike }}-\bar{Y}_{\text {Public }}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{10}\right)}}$ with $S^{2}=\frac{11}{20} S_{X}^{2}+\frac{9}{20} S_{Y}^{2}$
(G) $\frac{Z}{\frac{s_{Z}}{\sqrt{20}}}$
II. Which of the following represents the distribution for the scenario described above?
(A)
(A) $t_{20}$ Under $H_{0}$
(B) $t_{21}$ Under $H_{0}$
(C) $t_{9}$ Under $H_{0}$
(D) $t_{22}$ Under $H_{0}(\mathbf{E}) t_{11}$ Under $H_{0}$
6. Suppose in a local city, 53 percent of the population favors the implementation of a new tram line. A simple random sample of 300 residents is surveyed. We define $X$ as the number of residents favoring the new tram line. $X \sim B(n, p)$ where $n=300$ and $p=0.53$. Which of the following is true? (B)
(A) Since $n p>5$ and $n(1-p)>5$, by Central Limit Theorem the binomial distribution $\operatorname{Bin}\left(159,8.644^{2}\right)$ can be used to describe the distribution of $X$.
(B) Since $n p>5$ and $n(1-p)>5$, by Central Limit Theorem the normal approximation $N\left(159,8.644^{2}\right)$ can be used to describe the distribution of $X$.
(C) Since $n p>5$ and $n(1-p)>5$, by Central Limit Theorem the normal approximation $N(300,0.53)$ can be used to describe the distribution of $X$.
(D) Since $n p>5$ and $n(1-p)>5, X \sim N\left(159,8.644^{2}\right)$.
(E) Since $n p>5$ and $n(1-p)>5, X \sim \operatorname{Bin}\left(159,8.644^{2}\right)$.
7. In attempting to assess the effectiveness of solar panels installed on rooftops using technology A as opposed to technology B, and aiming to form a comprehensive judgment on the relative efficiency of these technologies, the researcher discovers a significant violation of the normality assumption. In this scenario, which of the following statistical methods could the researcher potentially employ?(D)
(A) Indepentent samples t-test
(D) Wilcoxon rank sum test
(B) Paired samples t-test
(E) Sign test on the Median of the differences
(C) Chi Square test
(F) Shaphiro Wilk's test

## Open questions

8. The presented data table below outlines the average counts of successful gestures performed by each participant during a hands-free interaction session within a virtual reality (VR) environment. Each participant underwent testing in four measurements, and the average value is provided in the table below. This research was conducted at the Center for Human-Computer Interaction at the University of Twente and involved a total of 43 participants. The gesture success counts are organized from the least to the most successful interactions. The classical numerical summaries and graphical presentations, are provided below.

| 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 8.0 |
| 8.4 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.4 |
| 10.5 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 15.4 | 15.4 |
| 15.8 | 19.6 | 22.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(a) Average Gesture Success Counts

| Statistical Measure | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sample size | 43 |
| Sample mean | 8.851 |
| Sample standard deviation | 4.484 |
| Sample variance | 20.109 |
| Sample skewness | 0.893 |
| Sample kurtosis | 4.298 |

(b) Statistical Summary

UNIVERSITEIT TWENTE.

| Cursusnaam/Coursename |  | Datum/Date | Bladnr./Page no. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cursuscode/Coursecode | Voorl//Initials | Opleiding/Programme | Groepnr./Group no. |
| Studentnr//Student no. |  |  |  |
| Naam/Name |  |  |  |

Q8)
I) Five number summory is as follows: minimum: 2.0

Q1: $25^{\text {th }}$ quatile: $\frac{25}{100} * 43=10.75 \Rightarrow Q_{1}=x_{11}=5.8$
median: $50^{\text {th }}$ quothe: $: \frac{50}{100} * 43=21.5 \Rightarrow$ medion: $x_{22}=\underline{9.0}$
Q3 : $7^{\text {th }}$ quatile: $\frac{75}{100} * 43=32,25 \Rightarrow Q_{3}=X_{33}=11.2$
maximum :22.7
Q8)
II)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 1.5 IQR } \Rightarrow 1.5 *\left(Q_{3}-Q_{1}\right) & =1.5 *(11.2-5.8) \\
& =1.5 * 5.4=8.1
\end{aligned}
$$

1.5 IQR: $\left(Q_{1}-8.1, Q_{3}+8.1\right)=(5.8-8.1,11.2+8.1)$
$=(-2.3,19.3)$ is the 1.5IQR.
Accordingly we have two outelers that are 19.6 and 22.7.

QR) III)
a) The skewness coefficient $(0,893)$ is greater then the reference value which is of for the normal distribution. This indicates skewness to the right.

The kurtosis coefficient $(4,298)$ is also greater then the reference value which is 3 for the nomel distribution. Whereas kurtosis coefficient is significantly smaller then the reference value (g) of the exponential distribution.
b) The histognon is not perfectly symmetric nor bell-shaped. It's skewed to the right, while the distribution does not look strongly noa-nomal and there are several peaks,
c) The normal $Q Q$-plot shows no major deviation from the line $y=x$, except for the langer observations (which were found to be outliers in part II).

Overall, theres some doubt about the normal distrifoution model.
Q8) IV) According to the Shaphino Will's table with $n=43$ and $\alpha=10 \%$ we reject the null hypothesis of a normal distribution if $W \leqslant c=0.951$.
Since $W=0.944, W<C$ and is in the rejection region. We reject the hull hypothesis ( $H_{0}$ ).
Normal distribution does not apply to the "avenage counts of successful gestures" at a $10 \%$ significance level.

QQ)
I) The assumptions are that the two samples are independent and normally distributed.
II) If the normality assumption is violated, then we aannot apply the panometric tests. In such a case, we would apply the non-parametric alternative for independent samples $t$-test which is Wilcoxon's rank test.
III)

1) $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$ : response time of system $x$
$Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{5}$ irespense time of System $Y$
$x_{i} \sim N\left(\mu_{x}, \sigma^{2}\right)$ and $y_{i} \sim N\left(\mu_{y}, \sigma^{2}\right)$
2) $H_{0}: \mu_{x}-\mu_{y}=0 \quad H_{1}: \mu_{x}-\mu_{y}>0 \quad \alpha=0,05$
3) Test statistic: $T=\frac{(\bar{x}-\bar{y})}{\sqrt{s^{2}\left(\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{5}\right)}}$ and $s=\frac{7 S_{x}^{2}+4 s_{y}^{2}}{8+5-2}$
4) Thas $t_{11}$ distribution under $H_{0}$
5) Observed: $s^{2}=\frac{7}{11} *(25.11)^{2}+\frac{4}{11} *(17,84)^{2} \approx 516.97$ and $t=\frac{110.5-100.4}{\sqrt{516.97 *\left(\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{5}\right)}} \approx 0.779$
6) This is a right-sided test and we reject to if $T \geqslant c$ with $c=1.796$ from the $t_{11}$-table.
7) $t=0.779$ is not in the critical area, we reject $H_{0}$.
8) With a significance level of $5 \%$ the expected system $x$ is not greater than that of system $Y$.
9) We will apply (Pearson's) $x^{2}$ [Chi-squered] test. on a distribution with 4 categories.
10) The numbers $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}$ are multinomially distributed with total number $n=200$ and corresponding probabilities with $p_{1}, p_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$.
11) We test Ho: $p_{1}=p_{2}=p_{3}=p_{4}=0,25$ against $H_{1}: p_{i} \neq 0.25^{3}$ for at least one of the $i=1,2,3,4$ with $\alpha=0,05$
12) Test statistic: $X^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\left(N_{i}-E_{0} N_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{0} N_{i}}$ with

$$
E_{0} N_{i}=200 * 0,25=50 ; \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

4) Under $H_{0}, X^{2}$ has a Chì-squaed distribution with $\quad d f=k-1=3$
5) Observed value:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2} & =\frac{(55-50)^{2}}{50}+\frac{(40-50)^{2}}{50}+\frac{(59-50)^{2}}{50}+\frac{(46-50)^{2}}{50} \\
& =4.44
\end{aligned}
$$

6) We reject $H_{0}$ if $X^{2} \geqslant c$ where $c=7.81$ from the $x_{3}^{2}$-table (with $\alpha=0,05$ ).
7) The observed value 4.44 is not in the critical area, we do not reject $H_{0}$.
8) We do not have sufficient evidence, at a $5 \%$ sifonificance level, that the distribution accross the four preferences of ornament decorations shows equality.

QI)
I) the dependent variable is:
y: Financial effects of balancing work and childcore responsibilities
the independent veriable is:
x: Age of each mother
II) $y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\varepsilon \quad \varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \alpha^{2}\right)$
III) $\left.\begin{array}{l}H_{0}: \rho=0 \\ H_{1}: \rho \neq 0\end{array}\right\}$ with $\alpha=5 \% \quad\left\{\rho=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{x}}\right\}$
IV) The prediction is $y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x$ with

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0 \text { versus } H_{1}: \beta_{1} \neq 0 \quad\left(\alpha=5 \%_{0}\right)
$$

since we have $p=0.046<\alpha=0,05$ we reject the null hypothesis that the population slope is zero.

The conclusion is that: there's sufficient evidence to conclude that there's a sponificat relationship between the 'age of mothers' and the fincicial effects of baliang wart and childcare responsibilities.

